IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8241 of 1996

Between:

K. Padmaja D/o. Anjaneyulu Reddy,SS & N College, Narasaraopet, Guntur Dist. R/o. Narasaraopet, Guntur Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Collegiate Education,
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary & Correspondent, SS & N College,

Narasaraopet, Guntur Dist.
3 The Principal, SS&N College, Narasaraopet, Guntur District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioner as Lecturer in Zoology is arbitrary, illegal and violation of Art. 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also violation of the Government Orders (G.Os) issued by the Government and to issue an consequential direction to the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner as Lecturer in Zoology in the 2nd respondent college from the date of initial appointment and pay the scale on par with the regular lecturers.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.RAJENDRA BABU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

–

–

ORDER:

–

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not regularizing the services of the petitioner as Lecturer in Zoology as illegal and arbitrary.

It is the case of the petitioner that she passed M.Sc., (Zoology) and is fully qualified for the post of Lecturer in Zoology. Therefore, the 3rd respondent has appointed her as a part-time lecturer in Zoology in a regular aided vacancy on temporary basis and since then, she has been working to the utmost satisfaction of the college authorities without any complaint from any corner till the date of filing of the writ petition. In fact, the 2nd respondent also issued a certificate to the effect that she has been working as a part-time lecturer in Zoology Department since 21-10-1991. Though she has completed more than five years of service, the respondents did not regularize her services. Hence, she filed the present writ petition.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that she is entitled for regularzation of her services as per G.O.Ms.No.212 dated 22-4-1994.

Be that as it may. There is no need to go into all those details in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the first respondent that the services of the petitioner were already regularized and she has been absorbed in a regular aided vacancy with effect from 05-06-2001.

In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the first respondent, nothing survives in the writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

According, the Writ Petition is closed. No order as to costs.

24-03-2005

To

1 The Commissioner of Collegiate Education,
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
2 The Government Pleader for Higher Education, High Court

Building, Hyderabad. (OUT).

5 Two C.D. copies.

sj